Phthiraptera from some wild carnivores in Spain
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Abstract

During 1987 and the first months of 1988, several carnivores were surveyed for ecological studies by means of radio-tracking techniques and in order to identify the ischnoceran species parasitising these animals. The hosts belonged to the following species: Felis pardinia, Felis silvestris, Herpestes ichneumon, Genetta genetta, Vulpes vulpes and Meles meles. While no lice were found on the two first species, the remaining ones were parasitised by Felicola (Felicola) inaequalis, Lorisicolu (Paradoxurocous) genetae n. comb., Felicola (Suricatoecus) vulpis and Trichodectes (Trichodectes) melis, respectively. Descriptions of these species are included.

Introduction

The original description of Felicola (F) genetae by Fresca by Fresca (1924) was inadequate by modern standards, and the most recent full descriptions of the others dealt with in the present study are in Wernecke (1948) which is no longer readily available. For these reasons we have redescribed the species in this paper.

The family Trichodectidae includes 351 phthirapteran species and subspecies, all of which parasitize mammals (Lyal, 1985). These share a set of morphological features which distinguish them from other ischnoceran species, such as the presence of three-jointed antennae and tarsi bearing a single claw.

A few papers on trichodectids have appeared in the Spanish literature. Fresca (1924) described the specimens (a male and a female) collected on a common genet (Genetta genetta) from Northern Spain, and named them Eutrichophillus genetae.

Martin Mateo (1977) studied, amongst other species, Trichodectes (T) melis and Felicola genetae. These, together with three more parasites of Mustela nivalis, Felis cauis and Canis familiaris, appeared in Cordero del Campillo et al. (1978) as parasites of carnivores in Spain. Soler et al. (1989) recorded F. (F.) inaequalis in Spain on the basis of three adult females and seven nymphs from a male mongoose (Herpestes ichneumon) from Córdoba. In this paper morphological features exhibited by females belonging to this species are briefly summarised.

Nitzsch described the genus Trichodectes in 1818. In 1902 Newmann described two ischnoceran species. One of these was T. acuictecps, found on a genet in Abyssinia. In 1929 Ewing described the genus Felicola (see Lyal, 1985). Bedford (1932) studied the trichodectid species on several African carnivores, and noted the variability in the number of abdominal spiracles in these species, questioning the generic significance.
of this feature in trichodectids. In this paper, he also described the genus *Suricatococcus* and designated the male holotype of *F. rammel* Stobbe, 1913, as a synonym of *F. (F.) inaequalis*. The genus *Lorisicola* was described by Bedford in 1936 (Lyal, 1985). Conci (1942) established the subgenus *Paradoxturoecus* (see Lyal, 1985). Werneck (1936, 1948) carried out a large study on lice from mammals. Amongst the species he studied were *Trichodectes melis* (specimens collected on *Meles melis* from several European countries), *M. chinensis* from China and *Erinaceus europaeus* from Italy), *Suricatoecus vulpis* (on material from Europe, India and North America) and *Felicola inaequalis* (specimens collected on species belonging to genus *Herpetes*). This author considered *S. vulpis* as an intermediate form between the species of the genera *Trichodectes* and *Felicola*. With regard to *F. genettae*, he only made some observations on Fresca's description as he was unable to examine material belonging to this species. *F. inaequalis* was also studied by other authors (Hopkins, 1948; Emerson & Price, 1972) on African material. Emerson (1972) recorded *Suricatoecus vulpis* on *Vulpes fulva* as an American parasite. Rekasi (1979) found *T. melis* in Hungary. In 1986 Beaucournu & Aubert included *T. melis* and *F. vulpis* amongst other species in a study of the Trichodectidae on wild carnivores in France. In 1985 Lyal devised a general key for this lice family. He published a comprehensive analysis of the distribution of the Trichodectidae. In 1987 this author studies the co-evolution of trichodectid species and their hosts by means of a cladistic analysis.

According to Honacki et al. (1982) the distributions of hosts on which lice are found are as follows. *Herpetes ichneumon* is distributed throughout Africa, the Middle East as far as southern Turkey and southwestern Liberian Peninsula. *Genetta genetta* occupies North Africa, Portugal, Spain (including the Balearic Islands) and France (to the west of the Loire and Rhone rivers). *Vulpes vulpes* is a Holarctic canid distributed throughout Europe, continental Asia (excepting the tundra), northern India, continental Indochina. Japan, North Africa and much of North America. *Meles meles* has a Palaearctic distribu-

tion extending from southern Siberia to Israel. It is also present in China, Korea, Japan, Ireland, Great Britain, Crete and Rhodes.

After noting the relative confusion with regard to the terminology used by the authors consulted (mainly involving the pieces of the copulatory apparatus and gonapophyses), we have adopted that proposed by Lyal (1985).

**Materials and methods**

A total of 256 lice specimens, including both adults and juvenile forms, were collected from 38 sampled hosts in southern Spain. An adult male of *Herpetes ichneumon* was found in Córdoba, and three wildcats (*Felis silvestris*) came from the Sierra Morena Mountains (Jaen). The remaining host specimens were collected in the Doñana National Park.

The animals were trapped and immobilised before study. The lice collected were taken to the laboratory and examined as described in Soler et al. (1979).

\[
\text{Cephalic index (Ce. I.)} = \frac{\text{Cephalic length (Ce. L.)}}{\text{Cephalic width (Ce. W.)}}
\]

\[
\text{Corporal index (Co. I.)} = \frac{\text{Total length (To. L.)}}{\text{Abdominal width (A. W.)}}
\]

*Felicola (Felicola inaequalis*) (Piaget, 1880) (Figs 14, 16–17)

**Type-host:** *Herpetes ichneumon* (L.)

**Material studied**

45 adult specimens (24 males and 21 females) and 83 nymphs. 15 mongooses were surveyed: 6 males (5 adult and one juvenile) and 9 females (6 adult and 3 immature). Lice were found on 10 of these animals. Almost all lice specimens were found in the ventral zone of the hosts, especially on the axillas and the inguinal regions.
Figs 1–4. Felicola (Felicola) inaequalis. 1. Head: a, male; b, female; V, ventral; D, dorsal. 2. Abdominal térrites: a, male; b, female. 3. Male genital apparatus. 4. Female genital region.
Description

Head pentagonal (Fig. 1). Clavi more prominent in males than in females. Male antennae more robust with scape markedly developed with respect to remaining articles, and flagellum bears 3 non-articulated teeth. Female antennae filiform. Thorax shows no important variations from other ischnoceran species.

Abdomen piriform in males and oval in females; bears 3 pairs of respiratory spiracles on segments III–V. Fig. 2 shows abdominal tergites: in male, those corresponding to segments V and VI are divided into anterior and posterior plates. Male copulatory apparatus (Fig. 3) bears characteristic endophallus with large number of spines. Parameres long and robust, with tapered end. Mesosomes thin and curved, in some cases fusing apically. Female genital region as depicted in Fig. 4. Gonapophyses long, thin and curved with acuminate posterior ends; each one of these has inner lobe bearing 3 setae. Subgenital lobe not bifurcate in its terminal region and appears "branched".

Table 1 presents biometric data from the specimens studied.

Loriscola (Paradoxuroecus) genetatae (Fresca, 1924) n. comb. (Figs 5–8, 18–19)

Type-host: Genetta genetta (L.).

Material studied

Three adult specimens (one male and 2 females) collected from the dorsal region of a genet.

Description

This is a small sized species (Table 1). Shape of head similar in both sexes, with exception of frontal region, which is straight in males and rounded in females (Fig. 5). Antennal flagellum in male bears 2 non-articulated teeth. Occipital region shows characteristic sinuous shape (Fig. 5). Spiracles absent on oral abdomen. Tergites as shown in Fig. 6. In males, tergites of segments III–VII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Biometry of specimens studied (in micrometers)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E (F) pentatomis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalopharyngeal length (C.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic width (C.W.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic width (T.W.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal length (A.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length (T.O.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic length (C.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalic width (C.W.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic width (T.W.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal length (A.L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length (T.O.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
appear divided into anterior and posterior plates. Copulatory apparatus as shown in Fig. 7. Mersomes fused apically. Median extension of mesomeral arch present. Male gonopore surrounded by spicular patch. Parameres triangular and acuminate.

Fig. 8 illustrates chaetotaxy and morphology of female genital region. In inner lobe of gonapophyses several setae of different length are inserted. Subgenital lobe clearly divided posteriorly, bearing overlapping scales.

Comment
Lorisicola (Paradoxuroecus) genera can be distinguished from L. (P) acuticeps by the curved exterior margins of the parameres, the shape of the abdominal tergites and the overall shape of the abdomen.

Felicola (Suricatoecus) vulpis (Denny, 1842) (Figs 9–12, 20–21)

Type-host: Vulpes vulpes (L.).

Material studied
Four adult specimens (one male and 3 females) and 10 nymphs from a fox. Five of these canids were sampled. Lice were found on both dorsal and ventral regions of the host body.

Description
Head shape similar in both sexes (Fig. 9). Frontal margin straight, although osculum appears slightly more marked in females. Antennae filiform in both sexes. In males no teeth seen on flagellum. In occipital region small lateral protuberances present near temples. Mesothoracic spiracles larger than those in other species studied. Abdomen with 3 pairs of spiracles. Fig. 10 illustrates shape of abdominal tergites. Male abdomen ends in terminal protuberance (as simple lobe). Copulatory apparatus (Fig. 11) with narrow basal apodeme: mesomeres narrow, curved, fusing to form structure which surrounds anterior portion of parameres dorsally; parameres long and narrow. Female genital region (Fig. 12) with characteristic chaetotaxy. Subgenital lobe bifurcate and rectangular posteriorly. Gonapophyses often bear 4 setae.

In Table 1 biometric data from the material studied are shown.

Trichodectes (Trichodectes) melis (Fabricius, 1805) (Figs 13–15, 22–23)

Type-host: Meles meles (L.).

Material studied
Two adult badgers were sampled, a male and a female. Lice were connected from both animals: 66 adult forms (18 males and 48 females) and 55 nymphs. Practically all body regions on the hosts were occupied by these ectoparasites.

Description
Head characteristically shaped (Fig. 13), being more angular in male, mainly at level of temples. In this sex antennae strongly developed, with flagellum bearing 4 teeth. In both sexes head wider than long with clear osculum. Abdomen lightly sclerotized in both sexes and nearly round in shape. Abdomen with 6 pairs of spiracles. In males rectangular protuberance seen at posterior end of abdomen. Copulatory apparatus as shown in Fig. 14 with long parameres. Endophallus bears typical spinulation. In Fig. 15 both chaetotaxy and sclerotization of female genital region are shown. Presence of tuberculate setae in inner anterior margin of gonapophyses also visible.

Table 1 gives the biometric data of this species.

Discussion
The subgeneric determinations used herein follow those of Lyal (1985). The differentiating features of Felicola (Felicola) and F. (Suricatoecus) can be summarized as follows:
(1) In Felicola, non-articulated teeth on the male antennal flagellum, numbering 1, 2, 3 or 4 if pre-
sent; and in *Suricatoecus*, 1 or 3 teeth articulated basally to the flagellum, or teeth absent.

(2) Gonapophysial lobe never rectangular in *Felicola*; in *Suricatoecus* sometimes rectangular.

(3) In both subgenera, subgenital lobe can be bifid. If bifid, margins are sometimes rectangular in *Suricatoecus*, but never in *Felicola*.

(4) The number of abdominal spiracles may be a
differential feature between these taxa: 0, 2 or 3 in Felicola, and 0, 1 or 3 in Suricatoecus.

(5) In Suricatoecus, abdominal tergites never appear divided into anterior and posterior plates.

Fresca's description of Eutrichophilus genetae (=Felicola genetae) is inadequate in that it doesn't provide sufficient information on features with taxonomic importance, such as the shape of the abdominal tergites. The female "abdominal appendages" (gonapophyses) are described as
long, thin and curved. The copulatory apparatus is described as follows: "...basal plate wide with margins convex, slightly curved, poorly thickened and bearing a large number of short and thick teeth. Parameres long and angulate at level of their insertion. There is an "V"-shaped hypomere. The last structure described is the apically fused mesomeris (mesomeral arch). These
specific morphological features, as well as the shape of head and abdomen in both sexes. coincide with those exhibited by our specimens.

Further details of this species are given by Martín Mateo (1977): head pentagonal, abdominal tergites present, abdominal spiracles absent, presence of a lobe in the inner margin of the gonopophyses, and marked sexual dimorphism with regards to antennae: photographs are also included. The specimens collected by us on Genetta genetta agree with Martín Mateo’s description and are similar to those appearing in the photographs. Although not conclusive, this evidence has led us to identify our specimens as Lorisicola (Paradoxuroeus) genetelli. This new combination is supported by the following features: the presence of a median extension on the mesomeral arch (never found in Felicola), a spicular patch surrounding the male gonopore and a female subgenital lobe with overlapping scales (never found in Felicola) (Lyal. 1985).

With regard to the subgenus Trichodectes, the following features are worth pointing out: two or four basally articulated teeth on the male antennal flagellum, abdominal pleurae without projections and tergites generally unsclerotised.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Comisión Asesora de Investigación Científica y Técnica.

References


Soler Cruz, M.D. et al. (1979) Brucella parviangula (Blagoveschensky, 1940) (Mallophaga), parásito de Guloidea crispus L. Revista Ibérica de Parasitología. 39. 165-173.

